

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

"Made available under NASA sponsorship
in the interest of early and wide dis-
semination of Earth Resources Survey
Program information and without liability
for any use made thereof."

E 7.6 - 1 0 2 2 4

UR-146335

COASTAL ZONE CLASSIFICATION
FROM SATELLITE IMAGERY

D. Bartlett and V. Klemas
College of Marine Studies
University of Delaware

R. Rogers and L. Reed
Bendix Aerospace Systems Division
Ann Arbor, Michigan

January 6, 1976
Report on Significant Results
NASA LANDSAT CONTRACT NAS5-20983
UN 20570

Prepared for
GOODARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
GREENBELT, MD 20771

(E76-10224) COASTAL ZONE CLASSIFICATION
FROM SATELLITE IMAGERY (Delaware Univ.) 2 p
HC \$3.50 CSCL 08J

N76-19527

Unclassified
G3/43 00224

SIGNIFICANT RESULTS

Studies of cover distribution along Delaware's coast -- especially in tidal wetlands -- have been made, utilizing semi-automated analysis of LANDSAT-1 MSS digital data. Cover maps with eleven vegetation and other cover categories have been produced with accuracy of identification above 80% in all categories. Recent studies have tested a new technique for training automated analysis which uses ground measured reflectance and atmospheric correction techniques to derive signatures for specific categories in preference to the relative radiance signatures derived from training sets within the LANDSAT data itself. Initial tests using a four category scheme indicate that training data based on absolute measured reflectance and atmospheric correction of LANDSAT data can produce comparable accuracy of categorization to that achieved using more conventional relative radiance training. The analysis of the same four categories produced average categorization accuracies of 82.1% by conventional relative radiance training and 81.9% by use of absolute reflectance signatures. It is believed that refinement of the absolute reflectance training technique may provide better results in the future. Regardless, the absolute reflectance training combined with atmospheric correction of scanner data provides a much more precise and better controlled data base which can be used to identify and assess promising uses of spectral discrimination in any area studied. Field results suggest, for instance, that the height of Spartina alterniflora may, to some extent, be detectable and that early winter imagery may provide optimal spectral differentiation of several wetlands cover types.